**Questionnaire**

Below are 54 statements regarding attitudes to “***Nomination the historic urban sites in the UNESCO’s World Heritage List (WHL)***”. Please check the box that best corresponds to your answer for each question and send the completed questionnaire back to [ahmadreza.shirvanidastgerdi@unifi.it](mailto:ahmadreza.shirvanidastgerdi@unifi.it) within 15th of July, 2017.

Thank you for your willingness to assist us with this Research.

|  |
| --- |
| Name/ Surname: |
| Academic Degree: |
| Affiliation: |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UNESCO’S Preservation Policies | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (1) UNESCO’s World Heritage Convention (WHC) is the most effective international legal instrument for the preservation of Cultural heritage Sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) World Heritage List (WHL) has saved many cultural heritage Sites from being lost to wars, urban development, or other risks. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Nomination to the WHL leads to sustainable development of cultural sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4) UNESCO has been successful in persuading countries to join the WHC. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (5) The distribution of the global heritage at the WHL is unfair. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (6) UNESCO has not been successful to strike a balance between cultural and natural sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (7) UNESCO has a Less enforcement power in local sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selection Process By UNESCO | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (8) WHL has accurately been included the sites with outstanding universal value. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (9) Given nomination priority to the State parties that have not yet had any World Heritage site to the WHL is an appropriate policy by UNESCO. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (10) Proposed management plan for world heritage site works after nomination to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (11) The longer WHL is the smaller importance of the nomination. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (12) Political relationships are effective in selecting or refusing an application for nomination to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (13) The selective concepts of authenticity and integrity are ambiguous. |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNESCO’s Facilities | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (14) UNESCO and its advisory organizations effectively contributes to provide the management plan of cultural sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (15) UNESCO is capable to provide technical assistance and funding in cases of emergency. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (16) The world heritage sites are protected during the war. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (17) The world heritage sites should not monitor periodically. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (18) The longer WHL causes a gap between existing resources and needs in future. |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHL and cultural sustainability | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (19) Nomination to the WHL is a tool for recognizing, preserving and introducing local cultures. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20) WHC has been successful to protect the both tangible and intangible cultural heritage. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (21) Nomination to the WHL enhance the local community's awareness of the tourism benefits. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (22) UNESCO’s convention defines culture and cultural patterns based on Western philosophy. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (23) The tourist crowd brings a danger to the local community’s social life. |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHL and economic sustainability | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (24) Nomination to the WHL enhances the local and national economic growth. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (25) Nomination to the WHL protects and develops the traditional local businesses. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (26) Gentrification is the outcomes of nomination cultural sites to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (27) Commodification the cultural heritage is wrong. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preservation of Local heritage sites | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (28) Nomination to the WHL brings efficient preservation for local sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (29) Prestige is the main motivation for including a cultural site to the WHL by state parties. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (30) Tourist provides fund for preservation of Cultural heritage sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (31) Lack of a strong plan for attracting experts and human resources is evident at world heritage sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (32) Lack of planning to control adverse environmental impacts will reduce the tourist. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (33) Political sanctions and non-cooperation of international organizations disrupt tourism activities in the local site. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selection Process By Local Managers | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (34) “Historic and architectural values” plays an important role to put a cultural site in the tentative list. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (35) “Empowering the Life of Ethnic and Religious Minorities” plays an important role to put a cultural site in the tentative list. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (36) The features of authenticity and integrity changes after nomination the cultural sites to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (37) The visual quality of cultural sites decrease after nomination to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Facilities | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (38) Investments in local sites increases by nomination to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (39) The infrastructure of the city improves by nomination cultural sites to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (40) Educational and medical facilities develops at the city level by nomination cultural site to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (41) Inappropriate status of terminals and airports has a negative impact on the attraction of cultural heritage site. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (42) The lack of safety in suburban transportation decreases the attraction of cultural sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (43) Failure to standardize the principles of global tourism has a negative impact on the attraction of cultural heritage site. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cultural sustainability at the local level | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (44) Nomination to the WHL brings cultural interaction between different religions and nations. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (45) Nomination to the WHL enhances the prosperity and variety of handicrafts. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (46) Nomination to the WHL attracts a special attention on holding traditional festivals. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (47) Nomination to the WHL trains specialist staff for cultural activities. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (48) Lack of collaboration between government and the private sector in the field of tourism appears after nomination the cultural sites to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (49) In some cases, there has been a poor understanding of the moral and tastes of foreign tourists at the world heritage sites. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Sustainability at the local level | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| (50) The promotion of cultural heritage at the international level is a motivation for regional economic growth. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (51) Nomination to the WHL brings many job opportunities. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (52) Land value increases sharply after nomination cultural sites to the WHL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (53) Modern construction appears after the nomination to the WHL to satisfy the tourists’ needs. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (54) There is a fundamental problem in heritage promotion if the local tourism sectore be incapable for attracting financial resourses and investments |  |  |  |  |  |